



# Applaa SQE Practice Mock 174

Mock Practice Exam Booklet

## Applaa: Socratic Practice Engine

Submit and grade your answers online for instant worked solutions:

<https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper=174>

# Instructions & Study Method

Welcome to your Applaa offline practice booklet. Please follow these guidelines to maximize your learning outcome:

- 1. Distraction-Free Practice:** Solve the multiple-choice questions in Section 1 under timed conditions. Do not look for shortcuts or answers until you are completely done.
- 2. Check & Submit Online:** We have intentionally excluded the answer key from this printout. To get your score, see worked solutions, and track your progress metrics, open: <https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper;=174> on any browser. Bubble in your answers in our digital check sheet.
- 3. Learn with Appy Buddy (AI Socratic Tutor):** Applaa is a 100% ad-free educational space. Our online AI Tutor guides you step-by-step through questions you get wrong, showing you how to solve them rather than just giving you the answer.

## ■ SUPERCHARGE YOUR STUDIES WITH APPLAA DESKTOP APP

Tired of printing PDFs and manual grading? Download the **Applaa Desktop Application**. It includes interactive exam mocks, real-time pacing stats, auto-grading, and personalized Socratic AI support. Get a **14-day free trial** of our premium preparation package to track your progress rate.

**Download:** <https://applaa.com/download>

# Section 1: Practice Questions

## Question 1 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Zoe) has applied for summary judgment against a defendant (Beatrice) under CPR Part 24. What is the test that the court must apply to determine whether summary judgment should be granted?

- A: The claimant must prove the case beyond all reasonable doubt.
- B: The defendant has no real prospect of successfully defending the claim, and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at trial.
- C: The value of the claim must be less than £10,000.
- D: The defendant has failed to acknowledge service of the claim form within 14 days.
- E: The dispute involves questions of international law.

## Question 2 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Yasmine) has applied for summary judgment against a defendant (Noah) under CPR Part 24. What is the test that the court must apply to determine whether summary judgment should be granted?

- A: The claimant must prove the case beyond all reasonable doubt.
- B: The defendant has no real prospect of successfully defending the claim, and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at trial.
- C: The value of the claim must be less than £10,000.
- D: The defendant has failed to acknowledge service of the claim form within 14 days.
- E: The dispute involves questions of international law.

## Question 3 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]

A director of Beacon Solutions LLP (a private company limited by shares) wants to allot new shares to a new investor (Lucas) to raise capital of £9,500. The company has only one class of ordinary shares. Under the Companies Act 2006, which of the following is correct regarding the director's authority to allot these shares?

- A: The director has automatic statutory authority to allot the shares without shareholder approval under Section 550, unless restricted by the articles.
- B: The director must always obtain authorization by ordinary resolution of the shareholders under Section 551.
- C: The director must obtain authorization by special resolution of the shareholders to allot any shares.
- D: The director requires the approval of the Board of Trade before allotting any class of shares.
- E: Authority is only required if the allotment would cause the company to exceed its authorised share capital as stated in the memorandum.

**Question 4 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]**

Prior to the formal incorporation of Zephyr Services LLP, a promoter (Xavier) signed a contract 'on behalf of the company' to purchase machinery from a supplier. The company is now incorporated. Which of the following best describes the liability of Xavier and the company on this pre-incorporation contract?

- A: The company is automatically bound by the contract upon incorporation, and the promoter is released.
- B: The contract is completely void and unenforceable by any party.
- C: The promoter is personally liable and entitled under the contract, subject to any agreement to the contrary, under Section 51 of the Companies Act 2006.
- D: The company and the promoter are jointly and severally liable automatically.
- E: The company can unilaterally ratify the contract without the supplier's agreement.

**Question 5 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]**

Prior to the formal incorporation of Genesis Consulting Ltd, a promoter (Samuel) signed a contract 'on behalf of the company' to purchase machinery from a supplier. The company is now incorporated. Which of the following best describes the liability of Samuel and the company on this pre-incorporation contract?

- A: The company is automatically bound by the contract upon incorporation, and the promoter is released.
- B: The contract is completely void and unenforceable by any party.
- C: The promoter is personally liable and entitled under the contract, subject to any agreement to the contrary, under Section 51 of the Companies Act 2006.
- D: The company and the promoter are jointly and severally liable automatically.
- E: The company can unilaterally ratify the contract without the supplier's agreement.

**Question 6 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]**

A seller (Ian) negligently makes a false statement of fact regarding the turnover of a business to a buyer (Oliver), inducing them to buy it. The buyer subsequently discovers the fraud. Which of the following describes the remedies available under the Misrepresentation Act 1967?

- A: The contract is automatically void, and the seller must be prosecuted criminally.
- B: Rescission of the contract and/or damages under Section 2(1) of the Act.
- C: The buyer can only recover damages and has no right to rescind the contract under any circumstances.
- D: The contract is binding, and no remedy is available since the buyer should have checked the accounts (caveat emptor).
- E: The seller is required to perform specific performance of the turnover projection.

**Question 7 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]**

A claimant (George) has brought an action against a defendant (Mia) in the County Court for breach of contract, claiming £18,500 in damages. The defendant has filed a defense. In accordance with the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), which track will this claim be allocated to?

- A: Small Claims Track
- B: Fast Track
- C: Intermediate Track
- D: Multi-Track
- E: Commercial Court Track

**Question 8 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]**

Wendy offered to sell a agricultural tractor to Penelope for £45,000. Penelope replied: 'I accept your offer, but I will pay £40,500.' Wendy did not respond. Two days later, Penelope wrote to Wendy saying: 'I accept your original offer of £45,000.' Is there a binding contract between Wendy and Penelope?

- A:** Yes, because the second letter constituted a valid acceptance of the original offer.
- B:** Yes, because the original offer remained open and had not been revoked by the offeror.
- C:** No, because the counter-offer of the lower price killed the original offer, meaning it could no longer be accepted.
- D:** No, because a contract for sale of goods must be made in writing signed by both parties.
- E:** Yes, because the offeror's silence on the counter-offer constituted acceptance of the lower price.

**Question 9 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]**

A claimant was injured when a defendant (Charlotte), who was engaged in operating a crane without safety barriers, caused an accident. The defendant admits they owed the claimant a duty of care and breached it, but argues that the claimant's own negligence contributed to the injury. Under the Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, what is the legal effect of contributory negligence?

- A:** It acts as a complete defense, and the claimant receives no damages.
- B:** It reduces the claimant's damages to the extent that is just and equitable, reflecting the claimant's share of responsibility.
- C:** It has no effect on damages but requires the claimant to pay the defendant's legal costs.
- D:** It shifts the burden of proof to the claimant to show that they took all reasonable precautions.
- E:** It renders the claim null and void, requiring allocation to criminal arbitration.

**Question 10 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]**

A director of Beacon Solutions LLP (a private company limited by shares) wants to allot new shares to a new investor (Harry) to raise capital of £35,000. The company has only one class of ordinary shares. Under the Companies Act 2006, which of the following is correct regarding the director's authority to allot these shares?

- A:** The director has automatic statutory authority to allot the shares without shareholder approval under Section 550, unless restricted by the articles.
- B:** The director must always obtain authorization by ordinary resolution of the shareholders under Section 551.
- C:** The director must obtain authorization by special resolution of the shareholders to allot any shares.
- D:** The director requires the approval of the Board of Trade before allotting any class of shares.
- E:** Authority is only required if the allotment would cause the company to exceed its authorised share capital as stated in the memorandum.

**Question 11 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]**

A driver (Grace) crashes into a pedestrian (Beatrice) who is crossing the street, causing physical injuries. To establish negligence, the claimant must show that the defendant owed them a duty of care. How does the court establish if a duty of care exists for physical damage caused by positive actions?

- A:** By applying the three-stage Caparo test including fair, just, and reasonable criteria in every case.
- B:** By finding that the case falls within an established duty category (such as road users to other road users) where a duty is automatically owed (*Robinson v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire*).
- C:** By checking if the defendant signed a voluntary duty registration form.
- D:** By proving the defendant intended to cause physical harm.
- E:** By allocating the claim to the Fast Track under CPR guidelines.

**Question 12 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]**

A claimant was injured when a defendant (Harry), who was engaged in leaving loose debris on a construction site, caused an accident. The defendant admits they owed the claimant a duty of care and breached it, but argues that the claimant's own negligence contributed to the injury. Under the Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, what is the legal effect of contributory negligence?

- A:** It acts as a complete defense, and the claimant receives no damages.
- B:** It reduces the claimant's damages to the extent that is just and equitable, reflecting the claimant's share of responsibility.
- C:** It has no effect on damages but requires the claimant to pay the defendant's legal costs.
- D:** It shifts the burden of proof to the claimant to show that they took all reasonable precautions.
- E:** It renders the claim null and void, requiring allocation to criminal arbitration.

**Question 13 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]**

A claimant (Quinn) has brought an action against a defendant (Fredrick) in the County Court for breach of contract, claiming £35,000 in damages. The defendant has filed a defense. In accordance with the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), which track will this claim be allocated to?

- A:** Small Claims Track
- B:** Fast Track
- C:** Intermediate Track
- D:** Multi-Track
- E:** Commercial Court Track

**Question 14 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]**

A seller (Noah) negligently makes a false statement of fact regarding the turnover of a business to a buyer (Alice), inducing them to buy it. The buyer subsequently discovers the fraud. Which of the following describes the remedies available under the Misrepresentation Act 1967?

- A: The contract is automatically void, and the seller must be prosecuted criminally.
- B: Rescission of the contract and/or damages under Section 2(1) of the Act.
- C: The buyer can only recover damages and has no right to rescind the contract under any circumstances.
- D: The contract is binding, and no remedy is available since the buyer should have checked the accounts (caveat emptor).
- E: The seller is required to perform specific performance of the turnover projection.

**Question 15 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]**

A driver (Kevin) crashes into a pedestrian (Wendy) who is crossing the street, causing physical injuries. To establish negligence, the claimant must show that the defendant owed them a duty of care. How does the court establish if a duty of care exists for physical damage caused by positive actions?

- A: By applying the three-stage Caparo test including fair, just, and reasonable criteria in every case.
- B: By finding that the case falls within an established duty category (such as road users to other road users) where a duty is automatically owed (Robinson v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire).
- C: By checking if the defendant signed a voluntary duty registration form.
- D: By proving the defendant intended to cause physical harm.
- E: By allocating the claim to the Fast Track under CPR guidelines.

**Question 16 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]**

A claimant (Ryan) has applied for summary judgment against a defendant (Laura) under CPR Part 24. What is the test that the court must apply to determine whether summary judgment should be granted?

- A: The claimant must prove the case beyond all reasonable doubt.
- B: The defendant has no real prospect of successfully defending the claim, and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at trial.
- C: The value of the claim must be less than £10,000.
- D: The defendant has failed to acknowledge service of the claim form within 14 days.
- E: The dispute involves questions of international law.

**Question 17 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]**

A seller (Victoria) negligently makes a false statement of fact regarding the turnover of a business to a buyer (Noah), inducing them to buy it. The buyer subsequently discovers the fraud. Which of the following describes the remedies available under the Misrepresentation Act 1967?

- A: The contract is automatically void, and the seller must be prosecuted criminally.
- B: Rescission of the contract and/or damages under Section 2(1) of the Act.
- C: The buyer can only recover damages and has no right to rescind the contract under any circumstances.
- D: The contract is binding, and no remedy is available since the buyer should have checked the accounts (caveat emptor).
- E: The seller is required to perform specific performance of the turnover projection.

**Question 18 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]**

A claimant (Sophia) has applied for summary judgment against a defendant (Katelyn) under CPR Part 24. What is the test that the court must apply to determine whether summary judgment should be granted?

- A:** The claimant must prove the case beyond all reasonable doubt.
- B:** The defendant has no real prospect of successfully defending the claim, and there is no other compelling reason why the case should be disposed of at trial.
- C:** The value of the claim must be less than £10,000.
- D:** The defendant has failed to acknowledge service of the claim form within 14 days.
- E:** The dispute involves questions of international law.

**Question 19 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]**

A director of Apex Retail plc (a private company limited by shares) wants to allot new shares to a new investor (Emma) to raise capital of £12,000. The company has only one class of ordinary shares. Under the Companies Act 2006, which of the following is correct regarding the director's authority to allot these shares?

- A:** The director has automatic statutory authority to allot the shares without shareholder approval under Section 550, unless restricted by the articles.
- B:** The director must always obtain authorization by ordinary resolution of the shareholders under Section 551.
- C:** The director must obtain authorization by special resolution of the shareholders to allot any shares.
- D:** The director requires the approval of the Board of Trade before allotting any class of shares.
- E:** Authority is only required if the allotment would cause the company to exceed its authorised share capital as stated in the memorandum.

**Question 20 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]**

A claimant (Olivia) makes a valid CPR Part 36 settlement offer to the defendant (Benjamin) of £22,000. The defendant rejects the offer. The case goes to trial, and the claimant wins, obtaining judgment of £25,299. What is the primary costs consequence under Part 36?

- A:** The claimant must pay the defendant's costs on the indemnity basis.
- B:** The defendant must pay the claimant's costs on the indemnity basis, plus interest on those costs, from the expiry of the relevant offer period.
- C:** The court will split the trial costs equally between both parties.
- D:** All costs recovery is capped at the Small Claims Track limit.
- E:** The defendant is immune to costs penalties because they defended the claim in good faith.

# Submit Answers & Check worked Solutions

## ■ Section Complete!

You have completed this practice exam paper. To check your answers and view step-by-step worked explanations:

■ Go to: <https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper;=174>

Simply bubble in your choices (e.g. A, B, C, D) and get instantly scored! You can then review the explanations or chat with Appy Buddy (AI Socratic tutor) to understand complex concepts.