



Applaa SQE Practice Mock 16

Mock Practice Exam Booklet

Applaa: Socratic Practice Engine

Submit and grade your answers online for instant worked solutions:

<https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper=16>

Instructions & Study Method

Welcome to your Applaa offline practice booklet. Please follow these guidelines to maximize your learning outcome:

- 1. Distraction-Free Practice:** Solve the multiple-choice questions in Section 1 under timed conditions. Do not look for shortcuts or answers until you are completely done.
- 2. Check & Submit Online:** We have intentionally excluded the answer key from this printout. To get your score, see worked solutions, and track your progress metrics, open: <https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper=16> on any browser. Bubble in your answers in our digital check sheet.
- 3. Learn with Appy Buddy (AI Socratic Tutor):** Applaa is a 100% ad-free educational space. Our online AI Tutor guides you step-by-step through questions you get wrong, showing you how to solve them rather than just giving you the answer.

■ SUPERCHARGE YOUR STUDIES WITH APPLAA DESKTOP APP

Tired of printing PDFs and manual grading? Download the **Applaa Desktop Application**. It includes interactive exam mocks, real-time pacing stats, auto-grading, and personalized Socratic AI support. Get a **14-day free trial** of our premium preparation package to track your progress rate.

Download: <https://applaa.com/download>

Section 1: Practice Questions

Question 1 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A business wants to apply for an interim injunction to prevent a competitor (Kevin) from using its trade secrets. According to the guidelines in *American Cyanamid Co v Ethicon Ltd*, what is the first question the court must consider?

- A: Whether the applicant is willing to pay the court fees.
- B: Whether there is a serious question to be tried.
- C: Whether the defendant has a criminal record.
- D: Whether damages would be an adequate remedy for either party.
- E: Whether the trial can be completed within 6 months.

Question 2 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]

A customer (Caleb) is walking down a warehouse aisle when a heavy crate falls from a high shelf and injures them. The claimant has no evidence of what exactly caused the crate to fall. Can the claimant rely on the doctrine of 'Res Ipsa Loquitur'?

- A: No, because the claimant must prove the exact negligent act to bring a claim.
- B: Yes, if the thing causing the accident was under the sole control of the defendant, and the accident is one that does not occur in the ordinary course of things without negligence.
- C: No, because Res Ipsa Loquitur only applies to breach of contract claims.
- D: Yes, but the claimant's damages are automatically capped at £10,000.
- E: No, unless the defendant has already been convicted in a criminal court.

Question 3 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A seller (Henry) negligently makes a false statement of fact regarding the turnover of a business to a buyer (Alice), inducing them to buy it. The buyer subsequently discovers the fraud. Which of the following describes the remedies available under the Misrepresentation Act 1967?

- A: The contract is automatically void, and the seller must be prosecuted criminally.
- B: Rescission of the contract and/or damages under Section 2(1) of the Act.
- C: The buyer can only recover damages and has no right to rescind the contract under any circumstances.
- D: The contract is binding, and no remedy is available since the buyer should have checked the accounts (caveat emptor).
- E: The seller is required to perform specific performance of the turnover projection.

Question 4 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Isabella) has brought an action against a defendant (Laura) in the County Court for breach of contract, claiming £15,000 in damages. The defendant has filed a defense. In accordance with the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), which track will this claim be allocated to?

- A: Small Claims Track
- B: Fast Track
- C: Intermediate Track
- D: Multi-Track
- E: Commercial Court Track

Question 5 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Matthew) makes a valid CPR Part 36 settlement offer to the defendant (Victor) of £95,000. The defendant rejects the offer. The case goes to trial, and the claimant wins, obtaining judgment of £109,249. What is the primary costs consequence under Part 36?

- A: The claimant must pay the defendant's costs on the indemnity basis.
- B: The defendant must pay the claimant's costs on the indemnity basis, plus interest on those costs, from the expiry of the relevant offer period.
- C: The court will split the trial costs equally between both parties.
- D: All costs recovery is capped at the Small Claims Track limit.
- E: The defendant is immune to costs penalties because they defended the claim in good faith.

Question 6 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A shopkeeper (Victoria) places a vintage watch in the shop window with a price tag of £95,000. A customer (Xavier) enters the shop, places the cash on the counter, and demands to buy the item. The shopkeeper refuses to sell it. Is there a binding contract?

- A: Yes, because placing the item in the window was a unilateral offer that was accepted by the customer's cash payment.
- B: No, because the display of goods in a shop window is an invitation to treat, not an offer. Refusing to sell does not breach any contract (Fisher v Bell).
- C: Yes, because consumer protection laws force retailers to sell all displayed items automatically.
- D: No, because contracts for sales in shops require a written signed document.
- E: Yes, because the shopkeeper was silent when the customer entered, constituting acceptance.

Question 7 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A seller (Fiona) negligently makes a false statement of fact regarding the turnover of a business to a buyer (Nora), inducing them to buy it. The buyer subsequently discovers the fraud. Which of the following describes the remedies available under the Misrepresentation Act 1967?

- A:** The contract is automatically void, and the seller must be prosecuted criminally.
- B:** Rescission of the contract and/or damages under Section 2(1) of the Act.
- C:** The buyer can only recover damages and has no right to rescind the contract under any circumstances.
- D:** The contract is binding, and no remedy is available since the buyer should have checked the accounts (caveat emptor).
- E:** The seller is required to perform specific performance of the turnover projection.

Question 8 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]

A claimant was injured when a defendant (Fredrick), who was engaged in speeding in a residential zone, caused an accident. The defendant admits they owed the claimant a duty of care and breached it, but argues that the claimant's own negligence contributed to the injury. Under the Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, what is the legal effect of contributory negligence?

- A:** It acts as a complete defense, and the claimant receives no damages.
- B:** It reduces the claimant's damages to the extent that is just and equitable, reflecting the claimant's share of responsibility.
- C:** It has no effect on damages but requires the claimant to pay the defendant's legal costs.
- D:** It shifts the burden of proof to the claimant to show that they took all reasonable precautions.
- E:** It renders the claim null and void, requiring allocation to criminal arbitration.

Question 9 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A builder (Caleb) contractually agreed to construct a wall for a customer (Julia) for £5,000. Halfway through the job, the builder states they cannot finish unless the customer pays an extra £1,000. The customer agrees. After completion, the customer refuses to pay the extra £1,000. Under *Williams v Roffey Bros*, is the promise to pay the extra £1,000 binding?

- A:** No, because performing an existing contractual duty can never be good consideration.
- B:** Yes, if the customer obtained a practical benefit (such as avoiding a penalty clause to a third party) and there was no economic duress.
- C:** No, because a promise to pay more must be approved by the County Court under CPR regulations.
- D:** Yes, because oral contracts are automatically binding regardless of consideration.
- E:** No, because it violates Section 52 of the Law of Property Act 1925.

Question 10 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Katelyn) has brought an action against a defendant (Alice) in the County Court for breach of contract, claiming £25,000 in damages. The defendant has filed a defense. In accordance with the Civil Procedure Rules (CPR), which track will this claim be allocated to?

- A: Small Claims Track
- B: Fast Track
- C: Intermediate Track
- D: Multi-Track
- E: Commercial Court Track

Question 11 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]

A claimant was injured when a defendant (Grace), who was engaged in speeding in a residential zone, caused an accident. The defendant admits they owed the claimant a duty of care and breached it, but argues that the claimant's own negligence contributed to the injury. Under the Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, what is the legal effect of contributory negligence?

- A: It acts as a complete defense, and the claimant receives no damages.
- B: It reduces the claimant's damages to the extent that is just and equitable, reflecting the claimant's share of responsibility.
- C: It has no effect on damages but requires the claimant to pay the defendant's legal costs.
- D: It shifts the burden of proof to the claimant to show that they took all reasonable precautions.
- E: It renders the claim null and void, requiring allocation to criminal arbitration.

Question 12 — [FLK1 / Legal System]

In a judicial review action, the High Court finds that a section of an Act of Parliament is incompatible with a right protected by the European Convention on Human Rights (ECHR). What is the legal effect of a Declaration of Incompatibility under Section 4 of the Human Rights Act 1998?

- A: The Act of Parliament is immediately struck down and ceases to be law.
- B: It does not affect the validity, continuing operation, or enforcement of the provision, but alerts Parliament to amend the law.
- C: The case is referred automatically to the European Court of Justice in Luxembourg.
- D: The monarch must immediately dissolve Parliament and call an election.
- E: The claimant is automatically awarded £250,000 in damages.

Question 13 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A builder (Uma) contractually agreed to construct a wall for a customer (Julia) for £5,000. Halfway through the job, the builder states they cannot finish unless the customer pays an extra £1,000. The customer agrees. After completion, the customer refuses to pay the extra £1,000. Under *Williams v Roffey Bros*, is the promise to pay the extra £1,000 binding?

- A:** No, because performing an existing contractual duty can never be good consideration.
- B:** Yes, if the customer obtained a practical benefit (such as avoiding a penalty clause to a third party) and there was no economic duress.
- C:** No, because a promise to pay more must be approved by the County Court under CPR regulations.
- D:** Yes, because oral contracts are automatically binding regardless of consideration.
- E:** No, because it violates Section 52 of the Law of Property Act 1925.

Question 14 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A claimant (Zoe) makes a valid CPR Part 36 settlement offer to the defendant (Victor) of £5,000. The defendant rejects the offer. The case goes to trial, and the claimant wins, obtaining judgment of £5,750. What is the primary costs consequence under Part 36?

- A:** The claimant must pay the defendant's costs on the indemnity basis.
- B:** The defendant must pay the claimant's costs on the indemnity basis, plus interest on those costs, from the expiry of the relevant offer period.
- C:** The court will split the trial costs equally between both parties.
- D:** All costs recovery is capped at the Small Claims Track limit.
- E:** The defendant is immune to costs penalties because they defended the claim in good faith.

Question 15 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

A builder (Fiona) contractually agreed to construct a wall for a customer (George) for £5,000. Halfway through the job, the builder states they cannot finish unless the customer pays an extra £1,000. The customer agrees. After completion, the customer refuses to pay the extra £1,000. Under *Williams v Roffey Bros*, is the promise to pay the extra £1,000 binding?

- A:** No, because performing an existing contractual duty can never be good consideration.
- B:** Yes, if the customer obtained a practical benefit (such as avoiding a penalty clause to a third party) and there was no economic duress.
- C:** No, because a promise to pay more must be approved by the County Court under CPR regulations.
- D:** Yes, because oral contracts are automatically binding regardless of consideration.
- E:** No, because it violates Section 52 of the Law of Property Act 1925.

Question 16 — [FLK1 / Contract Law]

Yasmine offered to sell a agricultural tractor to Charlotte for £95,000. Charlotte replied: 'I accept your offer, but I will pay £85,500.' Yasmine did not respond. Two days later, Charlotte wrote to Yasmine saying: 'I accept your original offer of £95,000.' Is there a binding contract between Yasmine and Charlotte?

- A: Yes, because the second letter constituted a valid acceptance of the original offer.
- B: Yes, because the original offer remained open and had not been revoked by the offeror.
- C: No, because the counter-offer of the lower price killed the original offer, meaning it could no longer be accepted.
- D: No, because a contract for sale of goods must be made in writing signed by both parties.
- E: Yes, because the offeror's silence on the counter-offer constituted acceptance of the lower price.

Question 17 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]

A claimant was injured when a defendant (David), who was engaged in delivering expired pharmaceuticals, caused an accident. The defendant admits they owed the claimant a duty of care and breached it, but argues that the claimant's own negligence contributed to the injury. Under the Law Reform (Contributory Negligence) Act 1945, what is the legal effect of contributory negligence?

- A: It acts as a complete defense, and the claimant receives no damages.
- B: It reduces the claimant's damages to the extent that is just and equitable, reflecting the claimant's share of responsibility.
- C: It has no effect on damages but requires the claimant to pay the defendant's legal costs.
- D: It shifts the burden of proof to the claimant to show that they took all reasonable precautions.
- E: It renders the claim null and void, requiring allocation to criminal arbitration.

Question 18 — [FLK1 / Business Law and Practice]

The directors of Atlas Transport Ltd wish to allot new ordinary shares for cash. The company's articles do not exclude pre-emption rights. Which of the following resolutions of the shareholders is required to disapply the statutory pre-emption rights under the Companies Act 2006?

- A: An ordinary resolution with a simple majority (over 50%).
- B: A special resolution with a 75% majority of votes cast.
- C: A written resolution signed by 100% of the shareholders.
- D: An extraordinary resolution requiring a 90% majority.
- E: No resolution is required; the directors can disapply pre-emption rights by a board resolution.

Question 19 — [FLK1 / Dispute Resolution]

A business wants to apply for an interim injunction to prevent a competitor (Nathan) from using its trade secrets. According to the guidelines in *American Cyanamid Co v Ethicon Ltd*, what is the first question the court must consider?

- A: Whether the applicant is willing to pay the court fees.
- B: Whether there is a serious question to be tried.
- C: Whether the defendant has a criminal record.
- D: Whether damages would be an adequate remedy for either party.
- E: Whether the trial can be completed within 6 months.

Question 20 — [FLK1 / Tort Law]

A driver (Nora) crashes into a pedestrian (Jack) who is crossing the street, causing physical injuries. To establish negligence, the claimant must show that the defendant owed them a duty of care. How does the court establish if a duty of care exists for physical damage caused by positive actions?

- A:** By applying the three-stage Caparo test including fair, just, and reasonable criteria in every case.
- B:** By finding that the case falls within an established duty category (such as road users to other road users) where a duty is automatically owed (*Robinson v Chief Constable of West Yorkshire*).
- C:** By checking if the defendant signed a voluntary duty registration form.
- D:** By proving the defendant intended to cause physical harm.
- E:** By allocating the claim to the Fast Track under CPR guidelines.

Submit Answers & Check worked Solutions

■ Section Complete!

You have completed this practice exam paper. To check your answers and view step-by-step worked explanations:

■ Go to: <https://applaa.com/practice/check?exam=sqe&paper;=16>

Simply bubble in your choices (e.g. A, B, C, D) and get instantly scored! You can then review the explanations or chat with Appy Buddy (AI Socratic tutor) to understand complex concepts.